(1) 3

C.S. 1052

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. No. 1300 of 2005

PETITIONER Ajaz Khan, S/o. Late Shahzad Khan, Aged about 57 years, Occupation – Deputy Ranger at Khairagarh, Division Range South Bor Jalav, Head Quarter, Baghnadi, Distt. – Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh
DEFENDANTS Through Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.

2. Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, C.G. Raipur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226, 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The Petitioner most respectfully states as under: -

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

61

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1370/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20.4.2005

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing.

Mrs. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard.

At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is working as Deputy Ranger in the Forest Department and in the seniority list of Deputy Rangers issued on 1.4.2001 (Annexure P-3) his seniority was reckoned at Sr. No.78. Thereafter, the petitioner made representation to the respondents for correction in the said gradation list, however, without considering the representation filed by the petitioner on 9.12.2004 the respondents have issued another gradation list of Deputy Rangers as on 1.4.2004 (Annexure P-1) and to the utter surprise of the petitioner his seniority has been changed and reckoned at Sr. No.244. She further submits that as per information of the petitioner the respondents are going to held the meeting of Departmental Promotional Committee very shortly for promotions to the post of Rangers and if the same is allowed then the petitioner's right is likely to be seriously prejudiced. She further submits that the representation of the petitioner is already pending before the respondents, therefore, in the circumstances and looking to paucity of time, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 within a period of 05 days and in turn respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner before the DPC meeting is held.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

62

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

On the other hand, Mr. Dubey, Govt. Adv. submits that as far as allowing the petitioner to make a representation is concerned, he has no objection. He has also no objection if a reasonable time is given for deciding the representation.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of 05 days from today to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of petitioner in an objective manner after taking into consideration the points raised by him within another four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.1065/04 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge